'Languaging Child and Adolescent Vulnerability': A Guide For Professionals, Practitioners

A Guide For Professionals, Practitioners and Partner Agencies supporting children and families in community settings

Simone Nyarko (2018)







As Local Authorities continue to find new ways to use Contextual Approaches to safeguarding, we need Council employees, representatives and partners to confidently and safely identify, assess and respond to children and families who experience harm outside of their home environment.

A key feature of the support we offer to residents and families is to think about the way we talk and describe the difficulties they may be facing. This includes how we can contribute to and get the best partnership response to help and assist them.

When we describe children and young people's experiences, any language that implies that a child, young person or group of young people are complicit or responsible for the exploitation they may suffer or crimes that may be victim of, should always be challenged.

Our language should always consider a lack of agency or ability to control exploitative situations and should recognise and be responsive to trauma resulting from the harm they have experienced. Failure of support services to make these considerations may contribute to the continuing victimisation of children and young people and prevent young people and those that care for them from accessing help.

This guide has been created to support staff, practitioners, professionals and partner agencies working with children, families and the community to language child vulnerability with specific reference to Child Sexual Exploitation (CSE) and Child Criminal Exploitation (CCE).

Content regarding: **Responses To Individual Child Harm** has been extended and are adapted from The Children's Society's guidance: Appropriate Language Child Sexual and/or Criminal Exploitation Guidance for Professionals (2018)¹.

Additionally there are **key new features** pertaining to the use of vulnerability language to describe contexts including: **Responses to Group Based Child Harm** and **Responses to Location Based Child Harm** which is the work of the author².

This guide outlines terms that should not be used when discussing or recording Child Sexual Exploitation and Child Criminal Exploitation and includes a list of alternative, appropriately worded phrases and narratives.

https://www.csepoliceandprevention.org.uk/sites/default/files/Guidance%20App%20Language%20Toolkit.pdf

¹ Accessible here:

 $^{^2}$ To be referenced as: Simone Nyarko (2018) 'Languaging Child and Adolescent Vulnerability': A Guide For Professionals and Practitioners supporting children and families in community settings.





Responses To Individual Child Harm					
Poorly worded response	Appropriately worded response				
Putting themselves at risk	The child may have been groomed.				
This implies that the child is responsible for the risks presented by the perpetrator and that they are able to make free and informed choices.	 The child is at an increased vulnerability of being abused and/or exploited. A perpetrator may exploit the child's increased vulnerability. The child is not in a protective environment. The situation reduces the child's safety. The location is dangerous to children. The location/situation could increase the opportunity to abuse them. It is unclear whether the child is under duress to go missing. There are concerns that the child may be being sexually abused/exploited. It is unclear why the child is getting into cars. There are concerns that 				
	into cars. There are concerns that there is a power imbalance forcing the child to act in this way.				
	There are concerns regarding other influences on the child.				
Would not cooperate with	 The child did not feel safe enough to disclose. 				
	The child appears to fear negative				





	repercussions for disclosure.
	 The child is being criminally/ sexually exploited and or groomed and therefore is not able to disclose.
	 Child considers the relationship to be consensual however the relationship has been formed in the context of exploitation.
Missing	The child's safety is context driven.
	The child does not feel able to stay at their current location.
	 The child is being groomed/ coerced to leave.
	 The child may consider leaving to be the safest option in this circumstance.
	 Someone else has control over this child's behaviour.
	The child has returned to a place safe
Sexual activity with	The child has been sexually abused.
This implies consensual sexual activity has	The child has been raped.
taken place. If it occurs within an abusive or exploitative context this term is not	There are reports of sexual abuse.
appropriate.	 The child has described sexual activity, however concerns exist that they child may have been groomed and/or coerced.
Sexually active since [age	The child has been raped.
under 13] A child under 13 cannot consent to sex and is therefore being abused. This should be	 The child has been/may have been sexually abused.





reflected in the language used.	 Concerns exist that the child may have been coerced, exploited, or sexually abused.
Has been contacting adult males/females via phone or internet This implies that the child or young person is responsible for the communication and does not reflect the abusive or exploitative context.	 Adult males/females may have been contacting the child. The child may have been groomed. There are concerns that the adult is facilitating communication with a child. The child is vulnerable to online perpetrators. There are concerns that others may be using online technology to access or abuse the child. Adults appear to be using a range of methods to communicate with the child.
Offering him/her drugs seemingly in return for sex This implies that the child or young person is responsible for the abuse and has the capacity to make a free and informed choice. It does not recognise the abusive or exploitative context.	 The child is being sexually exploited. There are concerns that the child has been raped. Perpetrators are sexually abusing the child. The child is being sexually abused. The child's vulnerability regarding drug use is being used by others to abuse them. The perpetrators have a hold over the child by the fact that they have a drug dependency.





In	а	rela	tion	shin	with
	a	ı cıa	uvi	JULIE	VVILII

This implies that the child or young person is in a consensual relationship and does not reflect the abusive or exploitative context.

- The young person says that they are in a relationship with a person and there are concerns about that person's age, the imbalance of power, exploitation and/or offending.
- The young person has been/is being groomed, exploited and controlled.

Involved in CSE

This implies there is a level of choice regarding the child being abused. A five year old would never be referred to as being involved in sexual abuse for the same reasons.

- The child is vulnerable to being sexually exploited.
- The child is being sexually exploited.

Promiscuous

This implies consensual sexual activity has taken place. Promiscuous is a judgemental term which stereotypes and labels people. It isn't appropriate in any context when discussing children and young people, but particularly if it occurs within an abusive or exploitative context

- The child is vulnerable to being sexually exploited.
- The child is being sexually exploited.
- This child has/is been/being coerced into sharing images of themselves online

Prostituting themselves

This implies that the child or young person is responsible for the abuse and has the capacity to make a free and informed choice. It does not recognise the abusive or exploitative context.

Changes in legislation have meant that child prostitution is no longer an acceptable term and should never be used.

- The child is vulnerable to being sexually exploited.
- The child is being sexually exploited.

Boyfriend/girlfriend

This implies that the child or young person is in a consensual relationship and does not

 The young person says that they are in a relationship with a person and there are concerns about that person's age, the imbalance of





Poorly worded response	Appropriately worded response				
Responses To Group based Child Harm					
He/she is choosing this lifestyle This implies that the child or young person is responsible for the exploitation and has the capacity to make a free and informed choice. It does not recognise the abusive or exploitative context.	 The child is being criminally exploited. The child is being sexually exploited. 				
Recruit/run/work This implies that the child or young person is responsible for the exploitation and has the capacity to make a free and informed choice. It does not recognise the abusive or exploitative context of the behaviour.	The child is being criminally exploited.				
Drug running – He/she is drug running This implies that the child or young person is responsible for the exploitation and has the capacity to make a free and informed choice. It does not recognise the abusive or exploitative context	 Child criminal exploitation (CCE). The child is being criminally exploited. The child is being trafficked for purpose of criminal exploitation. 				
reflect the abusive or exploitative context. Children have been challenged in court with practitioners recordings where a practitioner has referred to the perpetrator as the child's boyfriend or girlfriend.					

Poorly worded response	Appropriately worded response		
Associating or spending time with 'elders'	The young people say that they are friends with a person or group of		
This implies that the child or young	people and there are concerns about		
people are responsible for their exploitation	the ages of those people, the		
and have the capacity to make a free and informed choice. It does not recognise the	imbalance of power, exploitation and or offending.		
abusive or exploitative context.	or orientaling.		
	The young people have been groomed, exploited, coerced and/or		





controlled.

Note: If the 'elder' is also under the age of 18 years old, this will need to be considered using language of vulnerability/ exploitation and also requires a child protection processes/ response.

Offering him/her drugs seemingly in return for sex or to run drugs.

This implies that the child or young person is responsible for the exploitation and has the capacity to make a free and informed choice. It does not recognise the abusive or exploitative context relating to substance use.

- The child is being sexually/criminally exploited.
- The child is being criminally exploited through drug debt.
- There are concerns that the child has been raped as they do not have the freedom or capacity to consent.
- Perpetrators are sexually abusing the child.
- The child is being sexually abused.
- The child's vulnerability regarding drug use is being used by others to abuse them.
- The perpetrators have a hold over the child by the fact that they have a drug dependency.

Gang Involved or Affiliated

This implies that the child or young person is responsible for the exploitation and has the capacity to make a free and informed choice. It does not recognise the abusive or exploitative context or powerful grooming process.

- The child is being sexually/criminally exploited.
- Violence and crime affected child, family or household.
- There may be harmful behaviours and or attitudes that exist towards violence and criminality within this peer network.
- It is unclear why the child is getting





into cars. There are concerns that there is a power imbalance and powerful grooming forcing or compelling the child to act in this way.

There are concerns regarding other influences on the child.

Note: If other members of the peer network are also under the age of 18 years old, this will need to be considered using language of vulnerability/ exploitation and also requires a child protection processes/ response.

Responses To Location Based Child Harm²

Poorly worded response

Running County Lines

This implies that the child or young person is responsible for the exploitation and has the capacity to make a free and informed choice. It does not recognise the abusive or exploitative context.

Appropriately worded response

- The child(ren) in this location may be being trafficked and sexually/criminally exploited.
- There may be harmful behaviours and or attitudes that exist towards violence and criminality within this area.
- This environment may not be safe for these children.
- The location/situation could increase the opportunity to abuse child(ren).
- The child(ren) feel under threat/ coerced to remain in this location and/ or the grooming process is so powerful that the child believes this to be their choice.
- The child(ren) do not feel safe enough to leave this location.





Note: County Lines?

Is s/he exploited through County Lines? This should always be framed as question where there is not an established link between County border locations or phone/social media 'lines' for the purpose of dealing/supply.

There is a danger that broad use of the term 'County Lines' may distract practitioners from identifying and responding to children groomed, trafficked and exploited for the purpose of local drug dealing or supply.

Gang(s) in the Area/ location

This implies that the child or young people are responsible for the exploitation and have the capacity to make a free and informed choice. It does not recognise an abusive or exploitative context or their right to be in the location without experiencing harm.

- Area impacted by episodes of serious youth violence.
- Groups of young people congregate to create safety for themselves.
- There may be harmful behaviours and or attitudes that exist towards violence and criminality within this space/ community/neighbourhood.
- The space/ community or neighbourhood may not be safe for this child or group of children.
- There appears to be limited safe opportunities for young people or adults to interrupt/ harmful behaviours and or attitudes that exist towards violence and criminality within this community/neighbourhood.
- This community requires support to address concerns or attitudes that exist towards violence and criminality within this space/ community/neighbourhood.





•	Owing	to	confli	ct a	nd	tensic	ns
	betweer	n the	ese gr	oups,	oth	er are	as
	or locat	ions	in the	boro	ugh	may r	not
	be safe	for	this	child	or	group	of
	children						

 The location/situation could increase the opportunity to abuse vulnerable children.

Despite the risk s/he continues to return to the location...

This implies that the child or young people are responsible for any exploitation they experience in a location.

It does not recognise abusive or exploitative context or their right to be in the location without experiencing harm.

- The child(ren) have an existing peer network in this location.
- The child(ren) have an ownership or investment in the area.
- The child(ren) considers themselves to be safe in this space/community/ neighbourhood.
- The child(ren) did not consider themselves safe where they were.
- The child(ren) have been groomed or coerced into being in this neighbourhood/location.
- The child(ren) does not feel they have another safe place to go.
- The location/situation could increase the opportunity to abuse child(ren).
- The child(ren) feel under threat/ coerced to remain in this location.
- The child(ren) do not feel safe enough to leave this location.